Institutional Effectiveness Report 201920

Program:Elementary Education

Collegeand Department: College of Education urriculum & Instruction

Contact:Jeremy Wendt, Chairperson

Mission: The mission of the Department of Curriculum & Instruction **isrtb**ance education and policy for the well-being of society through the creation, communication and application of new knowledge; preparation of scholars, researchers, educators and other professionals to meet the needs of our increasingly diverse, global, technological society; and outreach initiatives engaged with matters related to the local community, state, nation, and world.

Mission BriefLearn from the past. Impact the present. Focus on the future.

Vision: Evidencebased, studenfocused, futureoriented education for lifeong learners.

Program Goals

PG 1: This program will prepare effective teacher candidates to apply their content and pedagogical knowledge and skills to contribute to the academic and developmental growth of diverse P students.

StudentLearning Outcomes

- SLO 1: Program candidates will demonstrate content and pedagogical knowledge and skills by meeting or exceeding passing scores on the respective state licensure exam as set by the State Board of Education.
- SLO 2: Program candidates will demonstratent and pedagogical knowledge and skills by meeting or exceeding a passing score on the respective performased subject pecific assessment as set by the State Board of Education.
- SLO 3: Program candidates will demonstrate content and pedagogical knowledge and skills in their clinical practice byyy e

knowledge of the subjects they teach. The subject assessments measure subjective

that took the Elementary Education Content Knowledge and Curriculum Instruction & Assessment over two academic years: 2012/2018 and 2018 2019. The Content Knowledge pass rate for the college fluctuated over the aforementioned years. Data available for the Curriculum Instruction and Assessment indicated an increase do, ratherthan what they plan to do. It is holistic and reflective as candidates integrate learning from across the curriculum and examine teaching practices. The portfolio includes 15 rubrics across 3 tasks (planning, instruction, and assessment) to demonstrate te

Student Learning Outcome 3: Program candidates devinonstrate content and pedagogical knowledge and skills in their clinical practice by scoring at or above expectations on the TEAMTEVANIdn 2011, the State Department of Education implemented the Tennessee Educator Acceleration Model (TEAM) evaluation rubric- a comprehensive, student outcomeased, statewide educator evaluation system. The majority of Tennessee educators across all content areas are observed multiple times throughout the year using this observation instrument developed by traces(TEAM Rubric). The EPP uses the (a a-3.5lu)2 ID 3 .261c 14im(17.93(or)113 t)-3 .261c67al75 Tc 0.00610.67itof(I-6.n)-4.--m(e)-3 (m(e)-3 (id)2

Appendices

1. Curriculum Map

Appendix 1: Curriculum Map

	Learner Development	Learning Differences: Standard 7: Practicum/Clinical Experiences	Learning Environment	Content Knowledge	Application of Content
	1	2	3	4	5
International Literacy Association	Standard 1: Foundational Knowledge; Standard : Practicum/Clinical Experiences	Standard 2: Curriculur & Instruction	Standard 5: Learners a the Literacy Environment	Standard 2: Curriculun & Instruction; Standard 3: Assessment & Evaluation	
National Science Teacher Association http://www.nsta.org/preservice/docs/2012 NSTAPreserviceScienceStandards.pdf	Std. 2 Content Pedagogy	Std. 2 Content Pedagogy, Std. 3 Learning Environment	Std. 3 Learning Environments	Std 1 Content Knowledge	Std. 2 Content Pedagogy
National Council Teachers Mathematics (Professional Standards for Teaching Mathematics, 1991)		Mathematical Tasks; Standard 2: Teacher's Role in Discourse; Standard 3: Students' Role in Discourse; Standard 4: Tools for Enhancing Discourse	Standard 4: Tools for Enhancing Discourse Standard 5: Learning Environment	Standard 2: Teacher's Role in Discourse; Standard 3: Students' Role in Discourse; Standard 4: Tools for Enhancing Discourse Standard 5: Learning Environment; Standarc 6: Analysis of Teachin	Mathematical Tasks; Standard 2: Teacher's Role in Discourse; Standard 3: Students' Role in Discourse; Standard 4: Tools for Enhancing Discourse Standard 5: Learning Environment; Standard 6: Analysis of Teachin
National Council of Social Studies	4	4	4	and Learning	and Learning 2
National Association of Education of Your Children	Standard 1: Promoting Child Development & Learning	Standard 4: Using Developmentally Effective Approaches Standard 6: Using	Standard 4: Using Developmentally	Standard 3: Observing Documenting, & Assessing to Support Young Children & Families	Standard 4: Using Developmentally Effective Approaches Standard 6: Using Content Knowledge to Build a Curriculum
Council for Exceptional Children	Standard 5: Instructional Plannng and Practices	Standard 5: Instructional Plannng and Practices	Standard 5:		Standard 3: Curricular Content Knowledge, Standard 4: Assessme
Course & Assignment:					
FOED 2011 Intro to Teaching & Technology	Text Readings, Grou Activities	Text Readings, Grou Activities	Text Readings, Group Activities	Education Buzzwords Activity, Text Reading: Group Activities, Annotated Bibliography Disposition, Interactive Whiteboard Activities, Curriculum Standards Lesson Plan Activity	Text Readings, Grou Activities
FOED 18313 Td [(G)27 (r)529.5 (t)-2.5 (R)-4					

