Institutional Effectiveness 2019-2020

Program ChemistryMS

College and DepartmentCollege of Arts & ScienceDepartment of Chemistry

Contact:Jeff Boles

Mission: The mission of the graduate program in chemistry may be summarized as follows:

1. To provide an ongoing program of study that prepares graduates to successfully pursue scientific

- SLO 3: prepare a hypothesis, design and execute experiments to test the hypothesis, keeping complete experimental records, (Assessment Item 14 on M.S. Survey of Graduates, M. S. Survey of Faculty). Surveyed annually and compiled every 5 years.
- SLO 4: apply appropriate statistical analysis to collected research data, (Assessment Item 15 on M.S. Survey of Graduates, M. S. Survey of UFrac Surveyed every 5 years.
- SLO 5: apply critical thinking skills to further refine the hypothesis based on experimental evidence (Assessment Item 12 on M.S. Survey of Graduates, M.S. Survey of Faculty). Surveyed annually and compiled every 5 years.
- SLO 6: effectively communicate scientific knowledge and ideas through both oral and written communication skills.

A departmentally developed curriculum map can be found in Appendix 1 that shows the connections between courses and student learning outcomes.

Assessment Methds:

PG 1: Engage students irresearch

SciFinder Scholar:

In order to assess our goal of increasing research productivity, Sci**Sirhobba**r is used to determine the number of peereviewed publications in each twocear period. The chemistry department annual report is generated each year and contains tabulated data such asexternal funding dollars raiseathd numbers of manuscripts publishedvia SciFinder Scholar to show progress in research productivity, in pastrafunding outcome

2. Chemistry Department Annual Report:

Information in the Chemistry Department Annual provides annual tabulation of the results of each program goal Indirect, but containing information from Direct Measure Assessment). The Chemistry Department Annual Report is used to not only track such data, but is also disseminated to the faculty and discussed at faculty meetings and retress the other assessment tools. The graduate program is assessed by external price every 5 years.

3. Delaware Study

Information in the Delaware Study will be utilized to determine and tabulated the amount of external funds activated each year the department. The Iniversity must file certain reports each year that indicate levels of funding support acquired from outside sources. The Delaware Report is thus very useful for acquiring this data.

PG 2: Decreaseeaching load

1. Delaware Study

Information in the Delaware Study will be utilized to determine about a teaching load assigned by the chair and the number of degrees awarded.

PG 3: Maintain a satisfactory graduation rate.

1. Graduation Rate

SLOs 16:

1. Seminar Evaluation Form

Both faculty and students attending student seminars fill out an evaluation form on the student speaker. This is helpful to both the student giving the seminar as well as the student grading the seminar. These are kept by the Seminar Program Coordinator, who also provides feedback to students, and to the M.S. Program Coordinator.

2. Chemistry M.S. Survey of Graduates

PG 3: Maintain a satisfactory graduation rate.

Academic Year	Number of Graduates
2007-2008	4
2008-2009	6
2009-2010	6
2010-2011	6
2011-2012	5
2012-2013	6
2013-2014	4
20142015	6

2015-

Graduate Survey 20125020 Graduate Cohort

Item	N	1	2	3	4
13. Progress you made in learning to use the scientificliterature	17	0%	0%	11.76%	88.24%

SLO 3: SLO3: design and execute experiments

Rubric for Faculty Evaluation of Thesis & Defensesis Problem

Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
2019	25%	62.5%	12.5%	0%
2020	57%	43%	0%	0%

Graduate Survey 20125020 Graduate Cohort

Item	Ν	1	2	3	4
14. Progress you made in					
learning to keep	17	0%	5.88%	11.76%	82.35%
organized research/	.,	070	0.0070	11.7070	02.0070
laboratory records					

SLO 4: Apply appropriate statistical analysis

Rubric for Faculty Evaluati- <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 (f 463.3.3 (lt)-2.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</MCID 98 >> B930.9 (y)-4.6 ()10ET EMC Q BT /P <</mr>

SLO 5: Apply critical thinking skills to refine the hypothesis

Appendices

- 1. Chemistry MS Curriculum #ping
- 2. Seminar Evaluation Form
- 3. MS Survey of Graduate
- 4. MS Survey of Faculty
- 5. Graduate Advisory Committee Thesis Assessment

Appendix 1: Chemistry MS Curriculum Mapping

Chemistry, MS:
Mapping of the Graduate Curriculum and Student Learning Objectives

Appendix 2: Seminar Evaluation Form

(Evaluator Pleasemake comments in the space to the right of each category as part of your grade. If you take this form with you to fill out at your leisure, please return it to Kathy Ruysthe following Monday)

Planning and preparationAbstract clear, succinct, adequate detail in abstract and outline. outline?, etc	Did the speaker adhere
	Award 120 points
Presentation to audience:Voice level and clarity, enthusiasm, eye contact, absence of ann notes, entertaining style, correct grammar, timing, worthanized, professional demeanor	oying actions, proper use
	Award 120 points
Visual AidsRelevanceeffective use, quality, correct grammar, correct spelling, proper use	
	Award 120 points
Subject matter Knowledge about subject, presentation of scientific merit, use of literature, material, etc	thorough understanding
	Award 120 points
Discussion Interest aroused, ability to answer questions, adequate time allowed interestions	
	Award 120 points
General Comments:	
Total points:	

Appendix 3: MS Survey of Graduates

CHEMISTRIM.S.SURVEY OF RADUATE (COMPLETED ONLINE WITHOUT)

Field of specialization:
Research Advisor:
Semesters in the M.S. program (counting summe <u>rs):</u>
Graduation Date (mm/vv):

Please rate your satisfaction or estimate the quality of the following items. Results will be kept anonymous

Not

	Poor Applica	<u>Fai</u> r able	Good	<u>Excellent</u>
Quality of courses in preparing me for my future		2	3	45
Quality of instruction in: Organic Chemistry		2	3	45
Analytical Chemistry	1	2	3	45
Inorganic Chemistry	1	2	3	45
Physical Chemistry	1	2	3	45
Biochemistry	1	2	3	45
Fairness in grading my courses	1	2	3	45
Availability of required courses		2	3	45
Opportunity for formal student evaluation of your instructors in chem of		2	3	45

Assistance given by departmental secretaries	1	2	3	45
Quality of my initial contact with the department	1	2	3	45
Opportunity for student participation in departmental decisions	1	2	3	45
Overall quality of the department	1	2	3	45
Overall satisfaction with M.S. degree program	1	2	3	45

Please take time to share your thoughts and perceptions of the Chemistry Department in order to foster the improvement of its M.S. program and faculty.

List or discuss the strengths of the department, faculty, and degree program.

Appendix 4: MS Survey of Faculty

Chemistry M. S. Survey of Faculty

Please rate your satisfaction or estimate the quality of the following items. Your responses will be kept anonymous.

If you rate the program fair or poor on any of the items below, please use the text boxes at the end of the survey to elaborate on your rating.

	<u>Poor</u> <u>Unkno</u>	<u>Fai</u> r <u>wn</u>	Good	<u>Excellent</u>
Not Applicable				Or
Perceived quality of instruction in graduate courses:				
Organic Chemistry	1	2	3	45
Analytical Chemistry	1	2	3	45
Inorganic Chemistry	1	2	3	45
Physical Chemistry	1	2	3	45
Biochemistry	1	2	3	45
Organization and clarity of M.S. degree requirements	1	2	3	45
Progress students make in learning to effectively use the scientific method		2	3	45
Progress students make in learning to effectively communicate scient	tific info	2	3	45

Progress studients make in learding to think 5 ritically & analyze chem. probl (2)Tj 0.506 j 0.506 4 mbl (2na)2.6.3 (he. .6.54)

Quality of recruitment of M.S. students	1	2	3	45
Quality of <u>curricula</u> advising of M.S. chemistry students	1	2	3	45
Quality of <u>caree</u> advising of M.S. chemistry students	1	2	3	45
Quality of researchadvising of M.S. chemistry students	1	2	3	45
Intellectual quality ofentering students	1	2	3	45
Academic preparedness of entering students	1	2	3	45
Quality of efforts to prepare TA's for effective lab teaching	1	2	3	45
Appropriateness of number of T.A. stipends afforded to the program	1	2	3	45
Appropriateness of dear amount of T.A. stipends	1	2	3	45
Level of operating budget afforded to the department	1	2	3	45
Quality of classroom facilities	1	2	3	45
Quality of laboratory facilities	1	2	3	45
Quality of TTU library chemistry holdings	1	2	3	45
Quality of computer support	1	2	3	45

Availability of a stimulating intellectual atmsphere conducive to learning	1	2	3	45
Availability of faculty development opportunities, sabbaticals, etc.	1	2	3	45
Assistance given by departmental secretaries	1	2	3	45
Opportunity for faculty participation in program decisions	1	2	3	45
Overall atisfaction with M.S. degree program	1	2	3	45

What are the major concerns that you have about the M.S. program that you wish to see addressed in this program review?

List or discuss the strengths of the department and faculty as they pertain to the M.S. degree program.

List or discuss the weaknesses of the department and faculty as they pertain to the M.S. degree program.

Any suggestions you may have to improve the M.S. program.

Appendix 5: Graduate Advisory Committee Thesis Assessment

Thesis / Research Defense Assessment Student Name______ Points _____

* Point Value	Thesis/ Problem/ Question	Information Seeking/Selecting	Analysis	Written Synthesis	Documentation	Oral Synthesis	Critical Thinking
value		and Evaluating					
4	Student contributed to thoughtful, creative hypotheses that engaged them in challenging or provocative research. The research breaks new ground or contributes to knowledge in a focused, specific area.	Student gathered information from a variety of quality electronic and print sources, including appropriate databases. Sources are relevant, balanced and include critical information relating to the thesis or problem. Primary sources were included.	Student carefully analyzed the information collected and drew appropriate and inventive conclusions supported by data.	Student developed appropriate structure for communicating data and conclusions, incorporating a variety of quality sources. Information is logically and creatively organized with smooth transitions. Little faculty assistance was required (mostly general editing).	Student documented all sources. Sources were properly cited in both written thesis and presentation slides. Documentation is error-free.	Student effectively and creatively used appropriate communication tools to convey their conclusions and demonstrated thorough, effective research techniques. Work displays creativity and originality.	Student demonstrated critical thinking by asking appropriate questions, considering legitimacy of sources and evaluation of data
3	Student contributed to focused hypotheses involving them in challenging research.	Student gathered information from a variety of relevant sourcesprint and electronic. Some were not very relevant.	Student conclusions shows good effort was made in analyzing the data collected	Student logically organized the methods employed and results generated. Average faculty assistance was required.	Student documented sources are sufficient in general. Few errors noted.	Student effectively communicated the results of research to the audience.	Student