

Institutional Effectiveness Report 2018-19

Program: Computer Engineering BS

College and Department: College of Engineering – Electrical & Computer Engineering

Contact: Allen MacKenzie

Mission: “Provide quality undergraduate and graduate education and perform research in the areas of electrical and computer engineering to enhance the competitiveness of our graduates and contribute to economic, scientific, and social development.”

Note that the electrical engineering and computer engineering programs are based in the same department (electrical and computer engineering), share a common faculty, and have identical program goals and student outcomes. As such, the programs also share an assessment methodology; however, assessment data is disaggregated between the two programs where possible.

Program Goals:

Within a few years following graduation, our graduates will have:

5. an ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives
6. an ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions
7. an ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies.

A departmentally developed curriculum map can be found in Appendix 1 that shows the connections between courses and student learning outcomes.

Relationship of Student Outcomes to Program Educational Objectives

Student Outcome		Program Educational Objective		
		i	ii	iii
1	an ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics	X	X	
2	an ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors	X		X
3	an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences	X		X
4	an ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts	X		X
5	an ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives	X		X
6	an ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions	X	X	
7	an ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies	X	X	

Assessment Methods:

1. *The Capstone Assessment.* Written and oral final presentations of each senior capstone project are evaluated every semester. This evaluation focuses primarily on a final oral presentation presented to the ECE Advisory Board. The advisory board is given a survey in which they were asked to rate several items, many of which related directly to student outcomes SO1-SO5 and to provide commentary to each team.

2. *The Final Exam Assessment (FEA)*. Specific exam questions for specific ECE courses are used to directly assess Student Outcome 1 each semester. The FEA is conducted in ECE 3020: Discrete-Time Signal and Systems, ECE 3130: Microcomputer Systems, ECE 3300: Electronics I, and ECE 3510: Electromagnetic Fields. (ECE 3510 is not required of BSCmpE students; the other three courses are.) This assessment is performed by the faculty member who administered the exam plus an expert in the field. Data is disaggregated for BSCmpE students. The final exam assessment, which has typically been collected directly from relevant faculty by the assessment chair, was not adequately conducted during the 2018-2019 academic year, after the assessment chair (who was also the interim department head at the time) left the department suddenly in the Fall.
3. *The Senior Exit Survey*. Each semester, both a written survey and a group oral interview of graduating seniors are performed. Students are asked a variety of questions about their experiences in the program, including being asked to rate their attainment of each student outcome; this data is disaggregated for BSCmpE students. In addition to numerical feedback, comments are obtained regarding the overall ECE program experience, specific courses, and specific faculty and staff.
4. *The Faculty Course Assessment (FCA)*. For each course each semester, the instructor provides an assessment of the achievement of the course instructional outcomes. The results of this assessment are used to ensure that the course instructional outcomes listed on the course syllabus are accurate and that they are actually achieved. All course outcomes which are rated at levels 2 (poor) or 1 (unable to perform / not covered) are flagged for investigation to determine the cause and what action needs to be taken to remedy the problem. While this assessment of the course instructional outcomes is used primarily for the purpose of maintaining individual course quality, for certain courses, the course instructional outcomes are related to certain student outcomes. In these cases, the results of this assessment are also used to assess student outcomes.
5. *The Student Course Assessment (SCA)*. For each course each semester, students are asked to self-assess their attainment of the course instructional outcomes. The results of this assessment are used to ensure that the course instructional outcomes listed on the course syllabus are accurate and that they are actually achieved. Course outcomes which receive low ratings are flagged for investigation to determine the cause and what action is needed to remedy the problem.

Attainment of Student Outcomes

The raw data from most tools is obtained on a 1-5 scale with 5 being the best score. For the final exam assessment, scores on selected exam questions are reported out of 100%. For comparability, we translate this into a 5 point scale with the formula $X/20$, this translates into an average grade of 60 on the selected exam problems receiving a 3.0, the threshold for acceptability on our 5 point scale.

Our target for each student outcome and each assessment tool is to achieve greater than 3.5 out of 5. We categorize the attainment of each outcome using each assessment tool as:

- Highly Satisfactory (HS) if the rating is 3.75 or
- Satisfactory (S) if the rating is 3.0 or
- Unsatisfactory (U) if the rating is less than 3.0.

Results:

Student Outcome 1: Identify, Formulate, and Solve Complex Engineering Problems

Student

Student Outcome 3: Communicate Effectively

Student outcome 3 is “an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences.”

Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 3

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Capstone Assessment	4.58	4.54	4.56	4.49	4.37

Attainment of this student outcome has met the highly satisfactory level of attainment (HS, >3.75) for all years, as well as, 2018-

Appendix 1: Curriculum Map

Course