Institutional Effectiveness Report 2018-2019

Program: **Bementary Education**

College and Department: College of Education, Curriculum & Instruction

Contact: Jeremy Wendt, Chairperson

Mission: The mission of the Department of Ourriculum & Instruction is to enhance education and policy for the well-being of society through the creation, communication and application of new knowledge; preparation of scholars, researchers, educators and other professionals to meet the needs of our increasingly diverse, global, technological society; and outreach initiatives engaged with matters related to the local community, state, nation, and world.

Mission Brief: Learn from the past. Impact the present. Focus on the future.

Vision: Evidence-based, student-focused, future-oriented education for life-long learners.

Program Goals

PG 1: This program will prepare effective teacher candidates to apply their content and pedagogical knowledge and skills to contribute to the academic and developmental growth of diverse P-12 students.

Student Learning Outcomes

- SLO 1: Program candidates will demonstrate content and pedagogical knowledge and skills by meeting or exceeding passing scores on the respective state licensure exam as set by the State Board of Education.
- SLO 2: Program candidates will demonstrate content and pedagogical knowledge and skills by meeting or exceeding a passing score on the respective performance-based subject-specific assessment as set by the State Board of Education.
- SLO 3: Program candidates will demonstrate content and pedagogical knowledge and skills in their dinical practice by scoring at or above expectations on the TEAM rubric.

A departmentally developed curriculum map can be found in Appendix 1 that shows the connections between courses and student learning outcomes.

Assessment Methods

SLO 1: Program candidates will demonstrate content and pedagogical knowledge and skills by meeting or exceeding passing scores on the respective state licensure exam as set by the State Board of Education.

State licensure exams. Candidates take between one and six licensure exams in order to be

teaching skills and content knowledge. Validity for the assessments is evidenced through

Table 1.

Table 2. edTPA data for Elementary Literacy

πυ			State			National		
Year	N	Mean	Year	N	Mean	Year	N	Mean
2017-	81	49.0	2017-	323	47.3	2017-	4,165	45.3
2018			2018			2018		

Table 3. edTPA data for ⊟ementary Math

πυ		State			National			
Year	N	Mean	Year	N	Mean	Year	N	Mean

The EPP chose to use TEAM to evaluate its teacher candidates in an effort to familiarize them with and best prepare them for this rigorous evaluation of teachers across Tennessee. Residency candidates are formally evaluated 3 times by a university supervisor and 2 times by a mentor teacher using the TEAM rubric, for a total of 5 TEAM evaluations across the residency year. Candidates, when evaluated 3 times each by university supervisors, earned the following mean scores on the

Appendix 1: Curriculum Map

	Assessment	Planning/ Instruction	Instructional Strategies	Professional Learning & Ethical Practice	Leadership & Collaboration
	6	7	8	9	10
International Literacy Association	7: Practicum/Clinical Experiences		Sandard 2: Curriculum & Instruction; Sandard 7: Practicum/Clinical Experiences	Standard 4: Diversity & Equity	Sandard 5: Learners the Literacy Environment; Sanda 6: Professional Learn & Leadership
National Science Teacher Association ttp://www.nsta.org/preservice/docs/2012 NSTAPreserviceScienceStandards.pdf	Sd. 2 Content Pedagogy, Sd. 3 Learning Environment Sd. 5 Impact on Student	3d. 2 Content Pedagogy	3.d. 2 Content Pedagogy	Std. 4 Safety Std. 6 Professional Knowledge & Skills	3d. 6 Professiona Knowledge & Skill
National Council Teachers Mathematics (Professional Standards for Teaching Mathematics, 1991)					